## Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP81M00980F000100020026-8

OLC 78- 2640

18 July 1978

filed HSC

MEMORANDUM FOR: Frederick P. Hitz

Legislative Counsel

FROM

Assistant Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT

18 July 1978 Meeting with DDO, OGC, and OSO Representatives in Preparation for Briefing the House Permanent Select

Committee on Intelligence on CIA's

Liaison Relationships

25X1 25X1 25X1

25X1

	Pursuant to your me		
(attached)	I met with Don Gregg		
	OGC, and	Office of	SIGINT
	(OSO). We reviewed		
on liaison	arrangements to Cong	ressional oversig	ht and
arrived at	the following percep	tions of the next	necessary
step:	-		

- a. We all agreed that the sensitivities of many of the countries with whom CIA conducts liaison (both SIGINT or HUMINT) are such that every effort should be made to avoid identification of individual countries and services, except as noted below.
- b. There is no objection to describing generically and in some detail the vast variety of liaison relationships that exist. Additionally, there is no problem in spelling out the value of liaison relationships both in terms of the quality of intelligence produced by these relationships and coming up with estimates on the amount of money saved as a direct result of services/ information provided via liaison.
- c. There is no objection to providing the Committee with detail on some of the liaison relationships ( 25X1 are line items in CIA's budget (and have been reviewed in budget mark-up). Similarly, there

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : GIA-RDP81M00980R003100020026-8

almedient

## Approved For Release 2004/05/21: CIA-RDP81M00980R003100020026-8

is no problem with providing the Committee

with an accurate description of the totality of our relationship with one or more of our liaison partners so long as we do not identify the country or service involved.
2. As you can see the issue is that both DDO and OSO wish to limit the briefings to the extent possible. Don Gregg pointed out that President Carter himself has said that he does not wish to know or need to know the identity of sources. It would seem to follow that oversight committees have no greater need in this regard than the President himself. Admittedly, this is a poor argument to use with the Committee but it does establish a point of reference for planning purposes.
3. The next step is to negotiate with the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, specifically Tom Latimer, the parameters of any hearing we may have on CIA's liaison relationships. I propose to see Tom Latimer on this issue and attempt to resolve it. It might make sense to take Don Gregg along with me, but I do not feel strongly about it. If I cannot carve out acceptable procedures for the hearing, then you would have to step in. Hopefully, this will not be necessary.
4. I am of course free to talk to you about this and believe that Don Gregg will have briefed John Stein, ADDO, along similar lines. I should add that

Attachment

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Distribution
Orig - adse
1 - OLC Subject
1 - OLC Chrono
OLC:MMP:sf (18 July 1978)

## Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP81M00980R003100020026 $8_{-2498}$

17 JUL 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: ADDO

OGO

DDS&T (OSO) PCS/LOC

FROM

: Frederick P. Hitz Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT

: Reporting on CIA Liaison Relationships to the House Permanent Select Committee on

Intelligence

1. As addressees may recall, in November 1977 the House			
Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) requested that			
CIA provide a briefing on its foreign intelligence liaison relationships.			
While the DCI was prepared to brief the Committee during a December			
1977 appearance, the time the DCI spent before the Committee on that			
occasion was devoted to other matters and the subject was never re-			
addressed in a briefing context. Committee staff have now renewed			
and refined the original request.			
and refined the original request.			

2. On 6 July 1978 Thomas K. Latimer, Staff Director, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, asked that the Committee be briefed on "written agreements that are essentially government to government agreements which have not been reported to Congress under the Case-Zablocki Act." Mr. Latimer indicated that he and the Committee understand that the Agency regards these relationships as both sources and methods of intelligence acquisition, but said that the Committee has a responsibility to be apprised of formal relationships which carry with them substantive commitments on the part of the U.S. Government. He also stated that he was not interested in oral and essentially informal arrangements carved out by present or former Chiefs of Stations with chiefs of foreign intelligence services. No date has yet been set for this requested briefing.

3. Reporting to HPSCI on written agreements which are essentially government to government in nature is probably the absolute minimum to which we will be able to limit a briefing on Agency relationships with liaisons. There follows a proposed outline for addressess' consideration

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

in approved the trace of confidentiality implicit in our relationships with the various foreign liaison services.

4. I believe the briefing should be oral and off-the-record (i.e., that no transcript be taken) and that it should be stressed throughout the briefing that these arrangements constitute some of our most sensitive activities. In addition, it should be pointed out that our reluctance to brief on such matters stems from the fact that we could very possibly lose the cooperation of foreign intelligence services if they found out that we were briefing Congress on those relationships, given the recent Congressional leak record. I propose the following structure for the briefing:

## a. Types of relationships:

SIGINT -- Limit geographical descriptions to general areas, i.e.,

Tell the Committee what the nature of these relationships are and how we carry them out. The Committee should be briefed in terms of funds expended, passed, material provided, information passed as well as the benefits to the USG from these relationships.

OPERATIONAL -- Again, limit geographical descriptions to general areas and provide the Committee with descriptions of the kinds of relationships encompassed, such as Exchange of Information (what kinds), Ground Rules (such as), Operations (what kinds and with what objectives), as well as the overall benefits to the United States Government.

- b. This might also be an opportune moment to discuss with the Committee the overall benefits of liaison relationships; viz., the value of those relationships to the U.S. intelligence effort, the basic philosophical position that there must be net advantage to the USG in order to justify the relationship, the kinds of product that emanate from these relationships and concluding with some kind of estimate on the number of man-years that these relationships save the USG.
- c. Once having concluded the opening remarks and briefing, the Committee will most probably ask some specific questions which the briefers will have to respond to in as general terms as the situation permits. Implicit in the proposed briefing outline is that anything we tell the Committee will be more than we wish to give up and less than the Committee will wish to receive. In the latter context it is worth remembering that the more financially significant of these intelligence agreements have already been exposed to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence in the course of budget mark-up.

25X1

25X1

	Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP81M00980R003100020026-8
25X1	5. Once a briefing package has been worked out, it will have to be coordinated in scope with DOD and NSA, both of whom are being tasked with similar requirements. Attached are proposed guidelines for NFIB agency responses to Congressional inquiries regarding liaison relationships. The proposed briefing is in general consonance with the guidelines.
25X1 25X1	6. I propose that DDO, OSO and OGC representatives be prepared to meet with of my staff, at 1030 hours on 18 July 1978 in 5Ell. The purpose of this meeting will be to discuss the above outline and create a briefing package which will be used in briefing the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.
	the state of the s

SIGNED

Frederick P. Hitz

Attachments As stated

Distribution

Orig - adsees
1 - OLC Subject
1 - OLC Chrono
OLC:MMP:sf (11 July 1978)